UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/783,214	02/20/2004	Katsushi Mimamino	81710.0266	6684	
26021 HOGAN & HA	7590 04/11/200 RTSON L.L.P.	EXAMINER			
1999 AVENUE	OF THE STARS		RILEY, MARCUS T		
SUITE 1400 LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90067		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2625		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/11/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/783,214	MIMAMINO, KATSUSHI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MARCUS T. RILEY	2625	

MA	RCUS T. RILEY	2625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03/24/08 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATIO	N IN CONDITION FOR ALLO	WANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replie application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (v for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR aperiods:	es: (1) an amendment, affidavi vith appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). Of MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	ory Action, or (2) the date set forth nan SIX MONTHS from the mailin NLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on whave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on and the corresponding amount ened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The approprianally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but p (a) They raise new issues that would require further conside (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corre	eration and/or search (see NO	TE below); ducing or simplifying th	
NOTE: <u>attached</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. S 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowal non-allowable claim(s).	<u></u> .		·
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) whow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 1-20. Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufform was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a No entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overce showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	ome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of t REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but doe See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTC 		condition for allowand	ce because:
13. Other:			
/Twyler L. Haskins/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625	/Marcus T Riley/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625		

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3:

Applicant submits that the conventional art teaches direct connection of input and output devices without processing by a third computer device while Yamamoto teaches multiple direct paths to an image output device and does not disclose or suggest the present invention. Furthermore, claims 4-6 and 8 are amended to depend from independent claim 2. Thus, these claims require no further search and consideration and should be entered. The remaining disclosure of Yamamoto also fails to disclose this feature because multiple connections are always provided.

Examiner submits that Yamamoto does not fail to disclose these feature because multiple connections or direct are taught or suggested. Yamamoto teaches where a direct path between the input and output device is provided and selected. Although there is provided a plurality of direct connections from the output device, a single path may be selected for data transmission.

Thus, Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 1-20 remain pending and Claims 4, 6 and 8 amended to depend from independent claim 2 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Continuation of 11: Claims 1-20 remain pending and Claims 4, 6 and 8 amended to depend from independent claim 2 does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it relies on newly added claim limitation not being entered and the finall rejected claims still do not overcome the prior art of record.